

SOUTHERN REGION GRMP SITE INSPECTION FORM



SITE NUMBER AND NAME:		HIGH	HWAY & KM:	PREVIOUS	INSPECTION DATE:	
S037 Springhill Creek		533:02, 22.174		INSPECTION DATE:	May 20, 2022	
				May 10, 2019	y _0, _0	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	NAD 83 COORDINATES:			RISK ASSESMENT:		
09-32-015-29 W4M	UTM Nor	thing	Easting	PF: 8 CF: 3 T	OTAL: 24	
10-32-015-29 W4M	12 557	76818	290160			
16-32-015-29 W4M						
AVERAGE ANNUAL DAILY TRAFFIC (AADT):				CONTRACTOR MAINTENANCE AREA (CMA):		
1380 (east) & 1250 (west), (Reference No. 83120)				27		

SUMMARY OF SITE INSTRUMENTATION:	INSPECTED BY:
	Chris Morgan (KCB)
Two slope inclinometers (SI10-1 and SI10-2) and two vibrating wire piezometers	Laura Assaad (KCB)
(VWP10-1150 and VWP10-1151)	Roger Skirrow (AT)
	Alex Frotten (AT)
LAST READING DATE: May 3, 2022	. ,

PRIMARY SITE ISSUE: Three relatively shallow earth slides (Slide 1, 2, and 3) on the south side of highway embankment. The slides are believed to be caused by an over steepened slope and potential infiltration/erosion.

APPROXIMATE DIMENSIONS: Three landslide zones located over approximately 500 m of highway. The natural slope to south of highway is sloped at approximately 2H:1V, approximately 30 m high. The sliding beneath the highway has been previously reported/estimated to be a maximum of 5 m deep. Instability of the natural slopes appears to be relatively shallow.

DATE OF ANY REMEDIAL ACTION: 2014 – pavement patching and crack sealing was completed upslope of Slide 2.

ITEM	CONDITION EXISTS		DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION	NOTICABLE CHANGE FROM LAST INSPECTION	
	YES	NO		YES	NO
Pavement Distress	Х		Pavement cracking has been observed along the site.		Х
Slope Movement	х		Three shallow slides on the east highway embankment slope.	х	
Erosion	Х		The CSP slope drain at Slide 1 is being undermined.	Х	
Seepage		Х	N/A – none observed		Х
Culvert Distress	Х		The CSP slope drain at Slide 1 is being undermined.	Х	

COMMENTS

General:

- Generally, no discernible change was observed in the pavement surface since the 2019 inspection. Small amount of new cracking at Slide 3 (east end) was observed during the 2022 inspection.
- Slides 2 and 3 show signs of slow ongoing movements, which may be seasonal (i.e., during freshet or rainfall events). It is possible that Slide 2 and 3 may be part of the same landslide complex that has its head scarp on the north (upslope) side of the roadway. The triggering mechanism may be due to buried springs beneath the embankment fill and/or saturation of the fill by rainfall events generating runoff from the pavement surface.

Alberta 🗖



Slide 1:

- The slide is located at a transition from cut to embankment fill and may be associated with an erosional gully feature. There is no discernible pavement distress upslope of the slide.
- Ditch and seepage drainage from south side of cut section and surface water runoff from the highway may have contributed to sliding and gullying.
- The fence along the slide zone is leaning downslope and appears to be leaning more since the 2019 inspection (Photo 1). The head scarp has retrogressed towards the edge of the highway and is approximately 1.7 m upslope of the fence along a 15 m length. Where the head scarp is impacting the fence, the ground appears to have settled approximately 0.8 m since the 2019 inspection. The power pole at this slide is near vertical and has no changed since the 2019 inspection.
- The CSP slope drain appears to have pulled away from cable anchors and is being undermined by surface water runoff from the south (eastbound) ditch (Photo 2).
- There is significant vegetation and small tree growth in gully below head scarp, indicating either a zone of seepage or surface water runoff is concentrated in this area.

Slide 2:

- The slide is believed to be a shallow slide that may be attributed to poor drainage (surface water runoff from highway surface and poor ditch grading) and/or seepage in the embankment/foundation.
- There are two arc shaped pavement cracks upslope of the slide (Photo 3). The pavement cracks could indicate the location of the right (west) flank if a rotational slide. During the 2022 inspection, some secondary cracking was observed in the south (eastbound) lane. However, the extent (i.e., length) of the zone of pavement cracking has not changed since the 2019 inspection.
- Between the 2019 and 2022 inspections, a portion of the fence appears to have been displaced downslope due to slide movement. However, the head scarp has not reached the fence.

Slide 3:

- The slide is located on south slope of embankment and is impacting the fence line but does not appear to be impacting the road surface. The head scarp is less than 5 m from the south (eastbound) edge of the highway (Photo 4).
- The fence is intact but has been displaced approximately 2.5 m downslope and settled approximately 1.5 m since the 2019 inspection. A power pole in the slide area is leaning at an angle of approximately 5° to 6° in the downslope direction and the upslope anchor cable was slack.
- Trees at the toe of the slope are leaning back towards the highway/slope. Slide blocks are actively deforming downslope. Exposed soils in the head scarp were wet and animal burrows were observed during the 2022 inspection. Drainage from road surface and seepage likely trigger for incremental movements.
- Between 2019 and 2022, there appears to be slight expansion in the flanks of the slide (i.e., the slide may be slowly expanding laterally).

Maintenance/Repair/Monitoring Recommendations:

- Repair options include slope stabilization and drainage measures.
- Slide stabilization could include excavating slide material and replacing it with geogrid reinforced granular fill; soil nailing; or a combination of geogrid reinforced fill and soil nailing (soil nailing near the lower portion of the slide and geogrid reinforced fill higher up on the slope).
- Drainage improvements include repairing the inlets of CSP slope drains so surface water is conveyed to the toe of the slope instead of over the slide area and installing either an asphalt berm at the edge of pavement with HTCB protection from damage, or a berm/ditch block in the ditch to keep surface water from flowing over the crest of the slope and allow CSP slope drains to convey water to the toe of the slope. Alternatively, directionally drilled subsurface drains could also be located below the failure plane from the flanks of the slide, to drain water seeping into the slope.

Aberta

SOUTHERN REGION GRMP SITE INSPECTION FORM



• The site should continue to be inspected every two-years as part of the Southern Region GRMP Section B inspections and instruments read annually (spring only) as part of the Section C instrumentation monitoring.

This report is an instrument of service of Klohn Crippen Berger (KCB). The report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Alberta Transportation (Client) for the specific application to the Southern Region Geohazard Risk Management Program (Contract No. CON0022161) and it may not be relied upon by any other party without KCB's written consent.

KCB has prepared this report in a manner consistent with the level of care, skill and diligence ordinarily provided by members of the same profession for projects of a similar nature at the time and place the services were rendered. KCB makes no warranty, express or implied.

Use of or reliance upon this instrument of service by the Client is subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The report is to be read in full, with sections or parts of the report relied upon in the context of the whole report.
- (ii) The observations, findings and conclusions in this report are based on observed factual data and conditions that existed at the time of the work and should not be relied upon to precisely represent conditions at any other time.
- (iii) The report is based on information provided to KCB by the Client or by other parties on behalf of the client (Client-supplied information). KCB has not verified the correctness or accuracy of such information and makes no representations regarding its correctness or accuracy. KCB shall not be responsible to the Client for the consequences of any error or omission contained in Client-supplied information.
- (iv) KCB should be consulted regarding the interpretation or application of the findings and recommendations in the report.
- (v) This report is electronically signed and sealed and its electronic form is considered the original. A printed version of the original can be relied upon as a true copy when supplied by the author or when printed from its original electronic file.

Chris Gräpel, M.Eng., P.Eng. Senior Civil Engineer, Associate







Inspection Photographs

Photo 1 The slide at Slide Area 1 is not impacting road surface. The fence posts and power pole are tilting downslope towards the toe of the slope. Photo taken May 20, 2022, facing west-southwest.



Photo 2 The CSP slope drain at Slide Area 1 is in poor condition and is being undermined by surface runoff from highway ditch. Photo taken May 20, 2022, facing northeast.





Photo 3 Pavement cracking has been sealed near the Slide Area 2 site. Photo taken May 20, 2022, facing north.



Photo 4 Slide Area 3 (east end) – The back scarp at Slide Area 3 is approximately 5 m from the south edge of the highway. The fence posts and power pole are leaning downslope towards the toe of the slope. The power pole is leaning at approximately 5° to 6° from vertical. Photo taken May 20, 2022, facing west-southwest.



