

## SOUTHERN REGION GRMP SITE INSPECTION FORM



SITE NUMBER AND NAME:		HIGHWAY & KM:		PREVIOUS INSPECTION	INSPECTION DATE:	
S061 Hwy 762 Embankment Erosion		762:02, 0.346		DATE:	May 9, 2023	
North of Junction 549				May 16, 2022	ay 0, 2020	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	NAD 83 COORDINATES:			RISK ASSESMENT:		
09-03-21-04 W5M	UTM N	orthing	Easting	PF: 8 CF: 3 TOTAL	_: 24	
	11 56	26018	678916			
AVERAGE ANNUAL DAILY TRAFFIC (AADT):			CONTRACTOR MAINTENANCE AREA (CMA):			
700 (north) & 1320 (south) (Reference No. 65170 & 60180)			27	, ,		

SUMMARY OF SITE INSTRUMENTATION:	INSPECTED BY:	
OUNIMART OF OTHERWOOTHOUGHTATION.	Chris Grapel (KCB)	
There is no instrumentation at the COG1 site	Peter Roy (KCB)	
There is no instrumentation at the S061 site.	Roger Skirrow (AT)	
LACT DEADING DATE, N/A	Alex Frotten (AT)	
LAST READING DATE: N/A	Maury Siddons (AT)	

PRIMARY SITE ISSUE: Cracking and settlement on the highway surface due to instability caused by creek erosion at the east embankment toe.

APPROXIMATE DIMENSIONS: The highway embankment is approximately 6 m to 7 m high, with 4H:1V slopes. Longitudinal pavement cracking 23 m in length is in the west (southbound) lane.

DATE OF ANY REMEDIAL ACTION: Overlays and patching have been conducted in previous years. The highway was milled and paved in fall 2017. Fill was added to both the east and west embankments of the highway and graded.

ITEM	CONDITION EXISTS		DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION		NOTICABLE CHANGE FROM LAST INSPECTION	
	YES	NO		YES	NO	
Pavement Distress	X		Pavement cracking is along the centerline and in the west (southbound) lane. Minor pavement settlement is beginning to form in the west (southbound) lane.	Х		
Slope Movement	Х		Fence is tilted in the downslope direction		Χ	
Erosion	Х		Erosion due to the creek below highway.		Χ	
Seepage		Х	Seepage previously noted on slope at the north extent of the failure area.		Х	
Culvert Distress	x		900 mm diameter CSP culvert inlet on the west side of highway is in poor condition (heavily corroded) and has settled and it is possible that water is draining into embankment. Unable to inspect inside of CSP culvert.		Х	

### **COMMENTS**

The site was previously inspected as part of the S008 Fisher Creek Pile Wall site and referred to as "South of S008". In 2021, the site was numbered and named "S061 Hwy 762 Embankment Erosion North of Junction 549".

The highway embankment is in a creek valley and downslope of a hill.

The highway embankment slopes are well vegetated.

High flow water comes out of the culvert on the west side of highway during periods of heavy rainfall. The water hits the bank directly downstream of the culvert and is eroding the west highway embankment toe. Standing water was observed at the culvert outlet during the 2023 inspection.

The fence on the west side of the embankment has deflected and is leaning towards the toe of the highway



## SOUTHERN REGION GRMP SITE INSPECTION FORM



#### embankment.

The creek flow entering the culvert appears to be flowing faster than discharge from the outlet of the culvert (i.e., backing up). The culvert is corroded and may be partially blocked. Corrosion of the invert may be leading to leakage from the culvert into embankment fill beneath highway, increasing the groundwater level and destabilizing the embankment.

The pavement cracking appears to have lengthened since the 2022 inspection and the transverse pavement cracking has widened to approximately 30 mm to 40 mm. The pavement cracking (believed to been caused by settlement in the west (southbound) lane) has dropped by approximately 20 mm to 25 mm over its 23 m length and could suggest that the slide is moving to the west.

In 2022 and 2023, a dip appeared to be developing in both lanes and is located south of the 900 mm diameter CSP culvert (underlying the highway and oriented north-south).

### Maintenance/Repair/Monitoring Recommendations:

- A camera inspection should be completed to assess the condition of the 900 mm diameter CSP culvert. If
  irreparable, the culvert could be sealed and a new culvert could be built with an alignment that outlets
  further downstream, away from the meandering stream where the current outlet is.
- The embankment could be stabilized by reconstructing the embankment with geogrid reinforced granular fill

This report is an instrument of service of Klohn Crippen Berger (KCB). The report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Alberta Transportation (Client) for the specific application to the Southern Region Geohazard Risk Management Program (Contract No. CON0022161) and it may not be relied upon by any other party without KCB's written consent.

KCB has prepared this report in a manner consistent with the level of care, skill and diligence ordinarily provided by members of the same profession for projects of a similar nature at the time and place the services were rendered. KCB makes no warranty, express or implied.

Use of or reliance upon this instrument of service by the Client is subject to the following conditions:


- (i) The report is to be read in full, with sections or parts of the report relied upon in the context of the whole report.
- (ii) The observations, findings and conclusions in this report are based on observed factual data and conditions that existed at the time of the work and should not be relied upon to precisely represent conditions at any other time.
- (iii) The report is based on information provided to KCB by the Client or by other parties on behalf of the client (Client-supplied information). KCB has not verified the correctness or accuracy of such information and makes no representations regarding its correctness or accuracy. KCB shall not be responsible to the Client for the consequences of any error or omission contained in Client-supplied information.
- (iv) KCB should be consulted regarding the interpretation or application of the findings and recommendations in the report.
- (v) This report is electronically signed and sealed and its electronic form is considered the original. A printed version of the original can be relied upon as a true copy when supplied by the author or when printed from its original electronic file.



# SOUTHERN REGION GRMP SITE INSPECTION FORM



Peter Roy., P.Eng. Civil Engineer		



— GPS Track (May 9, 2023)

Flow Direction

>--< Culvert

∼ Crack

XX Fence

#### NOTES

1. HORIZONTAL DATUM: NAD83 2. GRID ZONE: UTM ZONE 12N

3. IMAGE SOURCE: ESRI, MAXAR, EARTHSTAR GEOGRAPHICS AND THE GIS USER COMMUNITY.

4. MAP FRAME HAS BEEN ROTATED 90 DEGREES CLOCKWISE.





PROJECT

SOUTHERN REGION GEOHAZARD RISK MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

TITI F

### Site Plan

S061 - Hwy 762 Embankment Erosion N of Junction 549 Hwy 762:02, km 0.346

:1				
	SCALE 1:1,250	PROJECT №. A05116A03	FIG No.	
				_

Photo 1 Erosion at downstream end of culvert (east side). Culvert outflows are deflected back towards the highway embankment. Photo taken facing northeast on May 9, 2023.



Photo 2 Toe erosion and fence deflection on embankment slope. Photo taken facing northeast on May 9, 2023.



Photo 3 Pavement cracking extends to centreline. Photo was taken facing north on May 9, 2023.

