ALBERTA TRANSPORTATION GEOHAZARD ASSESSMENT PROGRAM PEACE REGION – PEACE RIVER/HIGH LEVEL 2019 INSPECTION



Site Number	Location		N	lame		H	wy	km		
PH 77-1 and 77-2 West of Fairviev				Sites E of Hine	es Creek Bric		32:02	12.5-12.8		
Legal Description	า		L	JTM Co-ordir	nates (NAD 8	33)				
NE35-81-5-W6	1V N 621	5000	E	396650						
			Date	PF	CF		Tota			
Previous Inspection:		May 16, 2018		11 13	4 4		44 (PH77-1) 52 (PH77-2)			
Current Inspection:		May 13, 2019		11 13	4 4		44 (PH77-1) 52 (PH77-2)			
Road AADT:		200			Year:		2018			
Inspected By:			Don Proudfoot, Barry Meays (Thurber); Ed Szmata, Ken Szmata, Rocky Wang (AT)							
Report Attachments:		₽ P	Photographs Plans Maintenance Items							
Primary Site Issue:		 PH77-1: Creek bank slumping caused by creek erosion continues to retrogress and has caused cracks to appear in the highway about 150 m east of the bridge. A shallow sideslope slide also exists at the east end. PH77-2: Settlement and cracking in the highway and an active landslide through the south embankment are causing distress to the BF culvert and pavement. Channel and ditch erosion on the north embankment has created a slide around the culvert inlet which has transgressed to near the highway. 								
Dimensions: Date of any remediation:		 PH77-1: Slide dimensions ~100 m wide along the embankment parallel to the highway x ~30m long. PH77-2: South embankment slide ~40 m wide x 75 m long extending to river. North embankment slope slide ~40 m wide x ~40 m. Erosion ~30 m long in the ditch TRM's, plus ~25 m of upstream channel erosion in front of the culvert inlet. PH77-2 was repaired in the fall of 2014 with a new 1.8m dia. 								
			SWSP (WSP = Consultant, In-Line = Contractor				r). Worsened?			
Maintenance: Observations:			Crack sealing. Description			Yes	nea? No			
Pavement Distress			At PH77-1, a 40 m long (30 to 80mm wide) crack and a 15m long crack (near the east end) exist in the highway. A dip exists outside the longer crack extending across the highway. At PH77-2, cracks and a noticeable dip exist across a 40 m length of the highway overtop the SWSP culvert, with another dip existing further east.				V			
Slope Movement			At PH77-1: the south en developed p close as 8 n end, the 15 has moved	The Creek han bankment, a barallel to the n from the pa m wide sha downslope a at 3.7 m from	nd a slide so highway ar avement. At allow sideslo nd created a	carp has nd is as the east pe slide a 0.4 m				

	0.6 m high toe push.		
	At PH77-2: A landslide is developing through the south embankment and has deformed the culvert. There is a 0.4 m high scarp located 4.6 m from the white shoulder line. North of the highway, a 1.5 m wide graben crack with a 0.2 m drop has developed above the erosion near the culvert inlet, and which has developed into a slide that has retrogressed back to near the highway.		
✓ Erosion	At PH77-1, erosion caused by the creek is occurring at the toe of the slope along the creek's edge. At PH77-2, the TRM south of the highway along the east runoff ditch contains areas of erosion and undermining. North of the highway serious erosion exists in the channel leading up to the culvert inlet (down-cut up to 3 m deep x 5 m wide), which has undermined the downstream end of the gabions, and there is also 4 m wide x 2 m deep erosion/slump at the top of the gabions to TRM transition on the	v	
	east ditch.		
Seepage			
✓ Bridge/Culvert Distress	The ends of the 1.8 m diameter SWSP (BF75380) at PH77-2 did not appear to be visibly damaged at the time of our inspection, however the 2015 bridge inspection noted that there were distortions and cracks/separations within the culvert (along with low ratings).		
C Other			
Instrumentation: None			

Background/Assessment (Refer to Figures PH77-1, -2, -1/2):

The existing bridge file management system records indicate that BF75380 **at PH77-2** consisted of a SPE having an in service date of 1961 (the size of the SPE was not identified), with a 15.8 m deck height.

PH77-2 was repaired in 2012 with a new culvert pipe, and there was some mention of a slide at the site at that time. The soil conditions identified from 2 test holes drilled through the highway as part of the 2012 design indicated a predominant clay fill embankment, overlying a stratified predominant clay deposit, overlying glacial clay till near Creek level. The submitted As-Build drawings indicates that the new pipe was a 157 m long x 1.8 m dia. SWSP spanning beneath the highway, containing horizontal and vertical elbows, and which also contained a 1.2 m diameter vertical access manhole located 34 m downslope of the highway centerline. A drawing note described the installation methodology as "Installed SWSP by augering and jacking through the existing road fill, backfill of culvert ends and other typical details in accordance with Std Drawing S-1418-03". Another drawing note indicated "Organic, and soft/yielding materials removed from existing slope failures prior to backfilling".

The 2015 Bridge Inspection Report indicated culvert distortions, along with a 10 mm wide crack at 26 m from the upstream end, a 23 mm crack with clay at 67 m from the upstream end, and a 100 mm wide crack exposing clay at 93 m from the upstream end.

The cracks and subsidence in the south embankment slope are evidence that a landslide has formed

in the slope at this location. This is supported by 2015 reports from AT that the culvert barrel has become distorted and separated, which indicate that the landslide is deep seated.

Similarly, cracks in the north embankment slope which have transgressed to the near the highway also suggest a landslide has developed. This is also supported by a documented crack in the culvert. This landslide might have been triggered by loss of toe support due to erosion caused by the tributary creek. Highway ditch runoff erosion (at the TRM/gabion intersections) may have also been contributing factors.

There is currently significant settlement observed overtop of the culvert at Site 2, in the form of dips and cracks in the highway. The subsidence and cracking could be the result of embankment fill settlement but might also be an indication that the slide movement will eventually retrogress towards the highway or has already.

At PH77-1, the slide roughly paralleling the creek and highway is a direct result of erosion by Hines Creek and resulting soil loss along the toe of the embankment. The slope will tend to flatten with time due to loss of cohesion in the clay fill embankment material. Therefore, the slide could also gradually enlarge into the driving lanes of the highway surface, and there are already indications of this starting to occur based on the existing cracks and dips in the highway. The smaller 15 m wide slide near the east end of this site may have been triggered by east ditch runoff erosion, and/or having a slope that is too steep for the composition material of the embankment. Ditch or surface erosion of the slope could also contribute to more rapid slope movements.

Recommendations:

\$ Ballpark Cost

In the short term, regular monitoring of these sites should be undertaken for enlargement. If any of the slides encroach into the shoulders of the highway, barricades should be erected and enhanced with warning signage until highway repairs are undertaken. A temporary detour upslope (to the north of the highway) may also be required depending on the extent of the highway affected.

PH77-1:

Medium Term: The recommended repair for only the small, shallower slide near the east end of the site is to subexcavate the failed slide mass down to intact clay and rebuild the slope with imported pitrun gravel. The new fill material should be placed and compacted in thin horizontal lifts, benched into the intact slope surface, possibly utilizing a gravel shear key to stabilize the slide area. Some of the more suitable excavated clay could be used to provide a covering layer overtop the gravel as the finished slope surface to shed runoff. A subdrain should be installed along the base of the slide excavation surface, to drain any surface/subsurface water that may enter the rehabilitated slide mass. The east ditch along the toe of this slide should be re-contoured and lined with either TRM or gabions. All existing topsoil should also be stripped and salvaged for replacement and seeded at completion of the repairs.

\$350,000

Medium to Long Term: A short highway re-alignment around the affected highway may be feasible. In order to meet the existing bridge (~100 m to the west), it would not be able to be a significant detour but could work if only a small portion of the highway was affected by a slide. Riprap could also be installed to mitigate future creek erosion. Rock vanes could be considered in conjunction with riprap to reduce the quantity of riprap required.

\$2 to \$3 Million

Long Term: Alternatively, due to the anticipated slide depth extending down to creek level and the embankment height (~13 m), a pile wall is feasible at this site. It would need to be a tied back pile wall (multiple anchors/pile), and a detour would be required to the north of the highway during construction. Perhaps other measures may be required in addition to the tied back pile wall, such as offloading a portion of the downstream embankment, and/or lightweight fill replacement of soil. A minimum length of 100 m of pile wall would be required to span the slide length at this site. Riprap should also be installed to mitigate creek erosion.

\$4 Million

PH77-2: Maintenance: The logs and silt that has accumulated in the trash rack from the extreme 2018 spring flow has gotten worse this year and is nearly completely blocking flow into the front of the culvert inlet. It should be cleaned up and hauled away, to enable unrestricted flow in the future.

Short Term: The breaks in the joints of the culvert should be sealed by installing a thin sleeve at each joint that is welded on the upstream side and shingles in the downstream direction over the gap, or by sealing the gap with caulking on an annual basis until more permanent repairs can be completed.

Medium Term: As part of the re-alignment, or separately, the north slope could be re-built entirely with moisture conditioned (dried) clay constructed on a gentler sideslope. Riprap protection around the bridge culvert inlet and in the channel upstream of the culvert would have to be placed. The existing gabion stone along the runoff ditches should be salvaged and re-instated over non-woven geotextile along new contoured ditches on the new repaired slope area. A suitable transition should be installed between the gabions and the erosion control soil covering further upslope (such as a steel plate and extended underlays, see PH64 for details), or alternatively (and preferably) consideration should be given to running gabions up the entire ditch slopes. Topsoil salvage will also be required.

\$0.5 Million

Long Term: A potential long-term solution is a highway re-alignment to the north, done in conjunction with the re-alignment for Site 1, before meeting the existing bridge over Hines Creek. A re-alignment could allow some flattening of the south slope leading down to the creek. For this option, the top section of the culvert might need to be re-profiled to remain within the embankment fill and the inlet end of the pipe would need to be extended to allow a shift and flattening of the north embankment slope. The damaged pieces of the pipe will need to be repaired. Some shear piles might also be needed in the south slope.

\$6 Million

Investigation: As a minimum recommendation, at least 2 or 3 test holes drilled at each site complete with piezometer and slope inclinometer installations to establish the soil and groundwater conditions, is required. At PH77-1, 2 holes should be located along the south edge of the highway. At PH77-2, 1 hole should be along the north side of the highway, 1 along the south edge of the highway, and 1 further downslope on the south embankment.

\$175,000

Additional test hole drilling would be required if a highway realignment or a pile wall is considered. The culvert pipe should also be re-inspected for further signs of distress, and the locations of any distress should be surveyed. A topographic survey, detailed design and tender package will also be required prior to carrying out the remedial measures.