

NORTH CENTRAL REGION GRMP EDSON / STONY PLAIN SITE INSPECTION FORM



SITE NUMBER AND NAME: NC094 – 100 Ave at NB AHD	HIGHWAY AND KM: 216:06, km 20.550	PREVIOUS INSPECTION: June 30, 2022	CURRENT INSPECTION: June 1, 2023	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	NAD83 COORDINATES:		RISK ASSESSMENT:	
NW-32-52-25-W4	UTM12U 5935400N, 323784E		PF: 13 CF: 4 Total: 52	
AVERAGE ANNUAL DAILY TRAFFIC (AADT):		CONTRACTOR MAINTENANCE AREA (CMA):		
3,610 (2022, Traffic from west turning left)		AHD		

SUMMARY OF INSTRUMENTATION:INSPECTED BY:No instrumentation installed at this site.Stantec: Leslie Cho, Sonja PharandLAST READING DATE: N/ATEC: Rocky Wang, Amy Driessen,
Pramaya Kannel and Brennan Evans

PRIMARY SITE ISSUE:

Slumping of the side slope embankment south of 100 Ave between two overpass bridges (81038 S2-7 and 81038 N1-1) for the Anthony Henday Drive (AHD).

APPROXIMATE DIMENSIONS:

18 m wide x 17 m long x 6 m high

DATE OF ANY REMEDIAL ACTION:

No remedial action completed to date. Jersey barriers anchored into ground at toe of slope in Fall 2022 to temporarily retain landslide.

ITEM CONDITIONS EXIST		ITIONS IST	DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION		NOTICEABLE CHANGE FROM LAST INSPECTION	
	YES	NO		YES	NO	
Pavement Distress		Х				
Slope Movement	х		Slump on the vegetated embankment south of 100 Ave on- ramp to northbound Anthony Henday Drive, between the two Anthony Henday overpass bridge abutments. Scarp has retrogressed and toe is pushing against jersey barriers.	x		
Erosion		Х				
Seepage		Х				
Culvert Distress		Х				
Other	х		Pooling water at top of slope at tension barrier, light standard, and low area. Pooling water along south ditch of 100 Ave.		х	

COMMENTS

- The overall embankment is about 33 m wide and 8 m high. The slump exists between the two bridge abutments south of the 100 Avenue on-ramp to AHD northbound (Photo 1).
- The landslide is slightly west of center of the embankment. The scarp appears to have retrogressed, lengthening the slide area by 1.4 m since the previous inspection in late June 2022. The scarp was observed at the upper portion of the embankment and is up to 1.1 m high. The current scarp is 2.6 m from the crest of the embankment, compared to 4.0 m at the time of the last investigation (Photos 1 to 7).
- The east and west flanks of the landslide are approximately 12.5 m and 4.6 m from the east and west bridge abutment walls, respectively. These measurements have not changed since the previous investigation.
- The toe bulge has progressed further downslope towards 100 Avenue and has reduced the distance to the edge of pavement from 1.8 m to 1.5 m. The toe bulge is approximately 1.5 m high (Photos 4 and 5).



NORTH CENTRAL REGION GRMP EDSON / STONY PLAIN SITE INSPECTION FORM

Alberta

- Jersey barriers have been placed around the toe of the landslide and anchored into the ground. The edge of the jersey barrier is 1.3 m from the edge of the pavement and the toe of the slump is pushed against the back of the jersey barriers (Photos 4 and 5).
- One jersey barrier at the far east side of the slump was observed to be toppled and resting against the slope.
- The 1.5 m long dip in the ground above the 2022 scarp was not observed during this inspection.
- The highway surface currently does not appear to be affected by the embankment failure.
- Snow fence previously placed above the slump has shifted down the embankment, and most of the snow fence placed below the slump is now buried beneath the toe bulge.
- Ponding water was observed at the toe of the embankment.
- Four high-tension cable barrier (HTCB) posts closest to the embankment have been abandoned and the cables have been strung from the post closest to the light pole continuing south. Holes around the abandoned posts are 30 cm deep with 20 cm average diameter. It is believed that the scouring may have been related to or caused by overloading during collision (Photo 10).
- The base of the light standard near the crest of the embankment appeared to have settled an additional 2 cm, for a total settlement of 12 cm. No ponding water was observed at the time of the call out inspection (Photo 8).
- No ponding water was observed north of the light standard within the low spot during this site visit. Water appears to be directed to this location from the overpass bridges from both sides of the embankment.
- A portion of the highway median north of the settling light standard was dry with a lack of vegetation established (Photo 9).
- During the previous call-out inspection, the exposed soils appeared wet and pieces of concrete and occasional pockets of grey fill material were observed throughout the slope. The grey fill material is believed to be from the bottom ash used during bridge abutment construction.
- Samples of the exposed soil were collected in June 2022 approximately at mid-slope and near the east corner of the toe. The material appears to be soft clay, mottled brown and grey, and moist to wet. Atterberg limits tests performed on the samples indicate that the material is high plastic with liquid limits of 53% and 58%, and plastic limits of 20% and 19%, for the mid-slope and toe samples, respectively.
- The landslide appears to be a shallow failure limited to the bridge/embankment fill. The landslide may be due to a combination of poor-quality embankment backfill and softening of the embankment fill near mid-slope. In addition, typical bridge and embankment compaction methods often result in less compaction at the slope face since compaction equipment cannot adequately compact the edges and slopes. These less compacted areas could allow water to infiltrate the slope more easily.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- The MCI and/or HMC should monitor the slump for progression towards 100 Avenue and the jersey barriers should be adjusted or maintained, as necessary.
- Stantec has completed the landslide remediation design for removal and replace. The design includes excavating the landslide mass in benches with an overall slope of 2H:1V followed by rebuilding the embankment with granular fill. The estimated cost of construction for landslide remediation ranges from \$180,000 to \$210,000 including part-time contract administration fees.
- It is understood that long term remediation is scheduled to take place in 2024. If the remediation work is pushed to another year later, TEC could consider annual surveys to monitor the movement of the slide.
- Site inspection frequency should continue annually.



NORTH CENTRAL REGION GRMP EDSON / STONY PLAIN SITE INSPECTION FORM



PREPARED BY: Sonja Pharand, P.Eng.	PREPARED BY: Leslie Cho, M.Eng., P.Eng.		
Apll			
REVIEWED BY: Xiteng Liu, M.Sc., P.Eng., PMP	PERMIT TO PRACTICE		
REVIEWED BY: Xiteng Liu, M.Sc., P.Eng., PMP	PERMIT TO PRACTICE		
REVIEWED BY: Xiteng Liu, M.Sc., P.Eng., PMP	PERMIT TO PRACTICE		
REVIEWED BY: Xiteng Liu, M.Sc., P.Eng., PMP	PERMIT TO PRACTICE		
REVIEWED BY: Xiteng Liu, M.Sc., P.Eng., PMP	PERMIT TO PRACTICE		





Photo 1: Slump on south embankment. Looking southwest.



Photo 2: Slump on south embankment. Looking west.





Photo 3: Mid slope of slump on south embankment. Looking west.



Photo 4: Toe bulge of slump with scarping/cracking. Looking northwest.





Photo 5: Toe of the embankment/slump. Looking southeast.

Photo 6: Retrogressing scarps near top of slump. Looking southeast.

Photo 7: Slumping viewed from the crest of the embankment. Looking north.

Photo 8: Scouring/settlement of ground around the light standard closest to the embankment. Looking west.

Photo 9: Highway median. Looking south.

Photo 10: Abandoned posts for high tension cable barrier. Looking south.

Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. Stantec has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsible for any errors or omissions which may be incorporated herein as a result. Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, and the recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data.

Distance (m)

Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. Stantec has not verifying the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, and the recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data.

	Cross Section Approximate Ground Surface Assumed Slip Surface
7 metres 11x17)	
y by Lafarge	<u>Notes</u> 1. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 11N 2. Data Sources: Geogratis, @Department of Natural Resources Canada, All rights reserved. 3. Imagery: City of Edmonton, 2022.
	Fort Saskatchewan St. Albert 16 Project Location 2 14 60 216 21
	Project Location Prepared by MK on 2023-07-24 City of Edmonton, QR by LC on 2023-08-28 Alberta IR by XL on 2023-08-28 Client/Project 123315222 Transportation and Economic Corridors 123315222 Geohazard Monitoring Program NC094 – 100 Ave at NB AHD Figure No. 2 Tritle Ground Profile of Section A-A'