GEOHAZARD ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

NORTH CENTRAL REGION – ATHABASCA



2010 INSPECTION

THURBER ENGINEERING LTD. GEOTECHNICAL = ENVIRONMENTAL = MATERIALS

Site Number	Location		Name				Hwy	km		
NC 25 16 km east		t of Hwy 41:22 near		W	EST LI	NDBERGH	HILL	646:04	16	
			UTM Co-ordinates (NAD 83)							
NW-27-56-5-W4M					12 N 5969352.8 E 522398.9					
Data										
Previous Inspection:			Une 22 2009		۲۲	2 0	18			
Current Inspection:		N	May 25, 2010		9	2	18			
Road AADT:			1280		Ū	Year:	2009			
Inspected By:		Tar Roș	Tarek Abdelaziz, Don Proudfoot (Thurber) Roger Skirrow, Neil Kjelland, Calvin Kissel, Arthur Kavoluk (TRANS)							
Report Attachments:			Photographs Plans Maintenance Items							
Primary Site Issue:			Active slide movement on the north side slope causing distress of the highway roadway surface along the east and west bound lanes.About 75 m along the highway and 80 m wide perpendicular							
Dimensions:			to north edge of highway.							
Date of any remediation:		:	of the slide mass below and upslope of the highway along with minor earthwork (completed in July 2007). ACP overlay in late 2007.							
Maintenance:			out the differential drop in the side slope. Highway surface was not patched since 2007.							
Observations:			Description						Worse?	
Pavement Distress			Up to 100 mm dip in both highway lanes. A new 5- 10 mm wide longitudinal crack was noted on the EBL to the west of the western limit of the patch, which is not considered to be slide related.							
✓ Slope Movement			10-25 mm wide diagonal reflective crack at the west limit of the slide. Settlement of gravel in contact with the wall at the downslope side by 150 mm between late 2009 and May 2010. New 10-15 mm long reflective crack on the EBL by the eastern limit of the slide. The headscarp developed downslope of the wall dropped by 100-200 mm between 2009 and 2010						Z	
□ Seepage										
Bridge/Culvert Distress										
C Other										

Instrumentation: (4SIs, 2SPs,6 VCs)

SI02-1, SI07-1, and SI07-3 showed no discernible movement. The rate of movement in SI07-2, located in one of the piles, was 6 mm/yr over 0 to 17.5 m depth. The majority of the load celsl indicated a reduction in the anchor loads by 3 to 7 kN.

Water levels remained relatively unchanged in the standpipe piezometers. **Assessment** (Refer to attached Figure):

The anchor design loads dropped by 15 to 30 % immediately after locking off the loads in 2007. The variation in loads afterwards remained negligible in the majority of monitored anchors, except for the readings from the load cells VC 1466 and 1467, installed at the west side of the slide, where the loads reduced by 6 to 9% between 2007 and 2010. Although the anchor design loads dropped by 15-30 % in 2007, the wall moved laterally by less than 0.05% of the wall height between late 2007 and May 2010.

The site observations and the instrument readings indicate that the highway conditions remained relatively unchanged since our 2008 site inspection visit and suggest that the pile wall has been effective in retaining the portion of the slide mass along the highway.

The fresh cracks along the wall face and downslope of the wall location suggest that the slide mass downslope of the wall has been active. The slide mass downslope of the wall will probably continue to move, and again will create a drop off at the wall face.

The cracks noted outside the western edge of the patched area are likely not slide-related cracks and are probably reflecting sub-grade or pavement structure issues.

Recommendations:

In the short term, open cracks on the highway surface should be sealed to prevent the built up of excess pore water pressure on the wall. As a temporary measure, gravel may be used again to smooth the side slope at the contact between the wall and the downslope slide mass to protect potential runaway cars from the sharp drop along the face of the wall. In the longer term, and as previously recommended in the 2009 inspection report, a permanent solution would be to install a guardrail along the shoulder of the highway or construct a toe berm on the well pad to stabilize the downslope slide mass.

In the future, it may be required to re-stress the wall anchors, if the wall movement becomes intolerable. The decision to re-stress these anchors will be founded on the future instrument readings.

As discussed on site, this site will be removed from the Geohazard Visual Assessment Program. However, semi-annual instrumentation monitoring will be continued to appraise the ongoing effectiveness of the remedial measure. The local MCI should continue to monitor and record the development of any new cracks/depression on the highway surface and sideslope.

 \odot

(X) SI

 \odot

01





Photo #1 General view of highway surface at slide location, looking west



Photo #2 10-15 mm wide reflective crack on the highway EBL, looking west



Photo #3 Looking west at the dip on the highway surface



Photo #4 10-25 mm wide open arc-shaped crack at the western limit of the slide, looking north



Photo #5 5-10mm wide open cracks outside the western limit of the patched area, looking east



Photo #6 Gravel fill placed along the wall face in late 2009, looking west



Photo #7 Active scarp crack downslope of the wall, looking west



Photo #8 Settlement of gravel in contact with the wall downslope face by up to 150 mm, looking south